# Improving and Measuring OCR Accuracy for Sinhala with Tesseract OCR Engine 

## A dissertation submitted for the Degree of Master of Computer Science

B. P. K. M. Balasooriya<br>University of Colombo School of Computing 2020

## DECLARATION

The thesis is my original work and has not been submitted previously for a degree at this or any other university/institute.

To the best of my knowledge it does not contain any material published or written by another person, except as acknowledged in the text.

Student Name: Kasun Manoj Balasooriya
Registration Number: 2016/MCS/013
Index Number: 16440132


Signature:
Date: 2020/11/17

This is to certify that this thesis is based on the work of
Mr. Kasun Manoj Balasooriya.
under my supervision. The thesis has been prepared according to the format stipulated and is of acceptable standard.

Certified by:

Supervisor Name: V.W Welgama


Signature:
Date: 2020/11/17


#### Abstract

This research project proposes and implements a system to improve and measure the accuracy of the Sinhala OCR using the Tesseract OCR engine．The system implements modules to rectify the issues which are inherent to the Tesseract OCR engine when performing OCR for Sinhala language．During the course of the project，the world level accuracy was used to measure the accuracy of the output from the system．

As a baseline to compare the results of the proposed system which implements tesseract OCR， the software the OCR Engine＂Фல๕ ゅгอช๓＂was used．To improve the accuracy，a syntactical rule engine a module to detect and correct confusion character pairs and a rudimentary dictionary look up feature to detect and correct errors in word level has been implemented into the system．

During the initial stage in the project which implemented only the Tesseract OCR library functionality，the output was less accurate when compared with the OCR Engine＂๑ช๕ ゅっอง๓＂．But as the features were built into the system，it yielded significantly improved results which improved the word level accuracy from the original $53.22 \%$ to $86.16 \%$ ．
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## CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

OCR Stands for "Optical Character Recognition." OCR is a technology that recognizes text within a digital image [3]. It is commonly used to recognize text in scanned documents, but it serves many other purposes as well [3]. OCR software processes a digital image by locating and recognizing characters, such as letters, numbers, and symbols [26]. Some OCR software will simply export the text, while other programs can convert the characters to editable text directly in the image [26]. Advanced OCR software can export the size and formatting of the text as well as the layout of the text found on a page [3].

The recognition process of the characters would be not accurate enough due to various reasons. Some reasons would be when the original image quality is poor and skewed. By rectifying the above issues using preprocessing techniques before feeding the image into the OCR engine. This would enable us to improve the result, and the validation processes could be applied to the output with much ease.

Post-processing is used to ensure the accuracy of the OCR output sequence to be as the same as that of the input source. If a particular word differs from the original source, a replacement suggestion is made to form a sensible and meaningful output.

Even after repeated attempts of producing accurate OCR output, although there are developments in strategies behind OCR, there are still problems when it comes to recognizing the correct character. However, the OCR knowledgebase has been widely utilized in increasing the accuracy of OCR recognition. Some of the techniques used to correct OCR errors are the usage of language models, Statistical information of N -grams, Grammar rules, Syntactic Analysis, Language Models and Lexicons.

The accuracy of the results from an optical character recognition engine may vary from the context and the language it's being used. Tesseract is an OCR engine which is used to do OCR for many languages [1].

### 1.1 Motivation

Compared to the Latin script and other scripting languages like Chinese and Korean, Sinhala OCR is at a research-level for being able to use at a commercial level. However, the usages of OCR in Sinhala would be many as there are a lot of untapped resources which could be digitized to produce advancements in the respective fields.

Documents and scripts on indigenous medicine, archived historical documents which are decaying with time, voter registers, and government documents which have been stored through various departments like census and hospitals are some of the examples of applied usages which could benefit from an OCR solution.

Since the localization and introduction of computers and digitization to government offices in the recent past has amplified the necessity further. While OCR for Sinhala language and meaningful data extraction is far behind, several improvements have been achieved with respect to the research done using the tesseract OCR engine.

Since Tesseract OCR engine is being used by similar scripting languages like Devanagari, Gurmukhi, Sindhi, Tamil, Thai and Telugu which shares some commonalities, it logical to look into means of utilizing Tesseract OCR with the Sinhala language.

### 1.2 Aims and Objectives

While there have been attempts to use the Tesseract engine for Sinhala language, it is important to look into ways of improving the output [1]. Measurement is needed to decide on the progress made on such an attempt.

Techniques used to improve OCR accuracy can be classified into two main classes.

1) Preprocessing techniques

Preprocessing techniques are applied to enhance the quality of the source image before running an OCR. A better source image which has minimal skew, a minimum amount of noise (blots/stains) and clear input text are some of the example techniques that can be leveraged.
2) Post-processing techniques

The post-processing techniques are used to fine-tune the output. Most common techniques include running the output against a lexicon, running the output against a rule engine to process the grammar etc.

This project is done with respect to the following project objectives.
The intention of this project is to improve the accuracy by using the above means to measure the accuracy against the accuracy measures of interest [2] mentioned below.
a) Character Accuracy
b) Word level accuracy
c) Accuracy by character class
d) Phrase accuracy
e) Non-stop word accuracy

- Developing an OCR solution for the Sinhala language by focusing on improving the accuracy of selected accuracy measures.
- Meaningful accuracy statistics extraction and Analysis
- Presenting results of the above OCR accuracy measures for the Sinhala language

It is intended to measure the OCR accuracy for Sinhala language using the above accuracy measures. While it is important to measure the OCR accuracy, it is important to evaluate best matrices that can be used to improve OCR accuracy for the Sinhala language.

### 1.3 Scope of the study

- The measuring will be done for the popular Sinhala Unicode font "Iskolapotha" and depending on the accuracy and the quality of the results, other fonts will be considered. (Newspaper fonts/type writer fonts etc.)
- Preprocessing techniques will be applied manually to create a better input image where applicable. (Will not be built into the system as a feature)


## CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

The Tech Terms Computer Dictionary defines Optical character recognition (OCR) as follows. "Optical character recognition (OCR) is a technology that recognizes text within a digital image [3]. The common usage of Optical character recognition (OCR) is to digitally process scanned documents such as passport documents, invoices, bank statements, computerized receipts, business cards, mail, printouts of static-data, or any suitable documentation [4].

Optical character recognition (OCR) software processes a digital image by locating and recognizing characters, such as letters, numbers, and symbols [3]. Some Optical character recognition (OCR) software will simply export the text, while other programs can convert the characters to editable text directly in the image [3].

Character recognition can be classified into two based on the input method [4]. They are online character recognition and Off-line character recognition. On-line character recognition is a real-time process which concentrates on capturing the motion of the characters/glyphs drawn rather than the shape of the character or glyph. Off-line character recognition focuses on scanning and analyzing the shapes of the characters and glyphs [3] [4].

Optical character recognition (OCR) fits under off-line character recognition in the above classification. Usually, the OCR system uses an optical input device (e.g., scanner) to capture images and to feed it to the recognition system. OCR systems can be further classified into two types, OCR systems to recognize printed text and OCR systems to recognize hand-written text [5].

Because of the existence of a variety of writing styles, it's often difficult to produce accurate and reliable output for hand-written text when compared with printed text. The printed text follows a font standard which can be processed relatively easily when compared with a handwritten text [5]. The widespread usage of OCR in the present spans from storing data in databases, processing text for translation, transliteration or converting text to speech, meaningful historical data archiving, digitization of historical documents to Automatic number plate recognition etc.

Most commonly used input formats in OCR software include JPG, TIFF, GIF, and PDF while the output formats are text, Microsoft Word, RTF, PDF etc. Some of the most widely used OCR software are Abbyy FineReader, Adobe Acrobat Professional and Google Tesseract OCR [6]. Some of the above software has leveraged commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) OCR software packages such as Tesseract making OCR software openly available [6] [7].

Commercially developed OCR systems demonstrate a high level of accuracy for Latin script [5]. The above OCR systems are the first OCR systems to be developed for commercial use. The accuracy of commercial OCR systems spans from $71 \%$ - $98 \%$ [5]. The accuracy of the OCR depends on the quality of the scanned image (sharpness/skew, etc.) and the OCR software [5]. Some typical sample errors [5] are listed in table 2.1 below.

Table 2.1: OCR Error Examples

| Error class | recognized word | correct word |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segmentation (missing space) | thisis | this is |
| Segmentation (split word) | depa rtme nt | department |
| Hyphenation error | de- partment | department |
| Character misrecognition | souiid | sound |
| Number substitution | 0pporunity | Opportunity |
| Special char insertion | electi'on | election |
| Changed word meaning | mad | sad |
| Case sensitive | BrItaIn | Britain |
| Punctuation | this.is | this is |
| Destruction | NI.I II I | Minister |
| Currencies | $? 20$ | $\$ 20$ |

Most OCR errors are primarily caused by noise (either inherent or introduced during the scanning process) in the document [5]. A two-pass approach to recognize characters is used in software like Cuneiform and Tesseract. They use the first pass to identify the letter shapes with a confidence level. Then the letters identified with high confidence is used in the second pass to recognize the remaining letters on the second pass. This approach can be beneficial for unusual fonts or low-quality scans or when the font is distorted (e.g. blurred or faded) [4].

Application of OCR in the modern world is diverse and the images to be scanned contain degraded images, heavy-noise, paper skew, low-resolution complex and various fonts /symbols/glossary words etc. Consequently, better OCR accuracy with better reliability has become an inevitability.

An OCR engine will typically mark some of the characters "suspicious", and the error correction is mostly based on verifying the above-identified characters [5]. However, there are other approaches like checking the OCR output against a dictionary [8], probabilistic approaches [9]
[10], advanced level of linguistic knowledge about grammar rules/syntax and semantics [11] [12] [13] which can be applied to improve OCR accuracy.

The current process of OCR can be studied under three main stages; Pre-processing, Recognition and Post Processing. Measures to improve accuracy can be employed in each of these stages. Pre-processing is used to prepare the source in the optimal quality possible before feeding into the OCR software. Then during the recognition stage, the source image is converted into a document with a digital representation of characters. During the post-processing stage error detection and correction of errors to improve accuracy is done. It is important to have an understanding of the above stages and discuss them in detail.

### 2.1 Pre-processing

There are four steps in preprocessing. Namely Image acquisition, Transformation, Segmentation and Feature extraction [14].

### 2.1.1 Image Acquisition

Converting a document to a numerical representation is image acquisition. It acquires the image of a document in color, grey-levels, and in binary format. The image is scanned first. The resolution depends on the purpose of the application and the nature of the material. Then the scanned image is sampled and quantified into a number of grey levels. Coding techniques are used to reduce the size of data representing.

### 2.1.2 Transformation

Transformation of the image to image is portrayed by input-output relationship. It involves refining the data in the representation image in several methods such as Geometrical transformation, Filtering, Background Separation, Object Boundary Detection, and Structural Representation [3].

### 2.1.3 Segmentation

In the segmentation stage, the layout information is extracted by breaking down the image into lines and further into characters [15]. The number of lines and the number of words/characters can be extracted as Metadata which could be used to improve accuracy during this stage. Tesseract supports and can be compiled to support a variety of page segmentation modes depending on the user preference [16].

```
Orientation and script detection (OSD) only.
Automatic page segmentation with OSD.
Automatic page segmentation, but no OSD, or OCR.
Fully automatic page segmentation, but no OSD. (Default)
Assume a single column of text of variable sizes.
Assume a single uniform block of vertically aligned text.
Assume a single uniform block of text.
Treat the image as a single text line.
Treat the image as a single word.
Treat the image as a single word in a circle.
Treat the image as a single character.
Sparse text. Find as much text as possible in no particular order.
Sparse text with OSD.
Raw line. Treat the image as a single text line,
    bypassing hacks that are Tesseract-specific.
```

Figure 2.1: list of supported page segmentation modes in Tesseract

### 2.1.4 Feature extraction

Feature extraction will classify symbols into classes. Feature extraction captures the distinctive characteristics of the digitized characters for recognition [3].

### 2.2 Recognition

Recognition involves sensing, feature selection and Creation, pattern recognition, decision making, and system performance evaluation [3]. A vertical projection is used, and it scans a line from top to bottom in character separation [15].

### 2.2.1 Feature selection and Creation

Feature selection is applied to reduce sample complexity, computational cost, and to overcome performance issues during recognition. There are three approaches to feature extraction and Creation [17]. Filter approach; used to filter out some features before applying a classifier, Wrapper approach which wraps the feature selection algorithm with computational cost and an unbiased classifier, Hybrid model which fits the subset of features and the accuracy of matching to a classifier [17].

### 2.2.2 Pattern Recognition

Pattern recognition will assign a given pattern into one of the known classes. There are two commonly used methods; template matching and classification on feature space [14] [17] [18].

Template matching compares the pattern with stored models of known patterns and selects the best match [18]. Template matching can be applied when the number of classes and variability within a class is small [14]

When classifying based on the feature space features are summarized and classified using statistics, syntax, neural networks or a combination of above methods [17].

### 2.3 Post Processing

The human eye with the aid of the human brain is able to read and process most of the texts irrespective of the font, style, skew, distortion missing characters etc. But in contrast, the OCR systems like most machines mimicking human behavior exhibit poor accuracy when compared to that of humans. Hence, improving the accuracy of OCR output has become imperative. Hence to improve the accuracy of the OCR output, post-processing is exploited.

Most errors in recognizing characters are introduced in segmentation and classification stages, mainly due to low-quality images [5]. Post-processing is harnessed to correct errors and/or resolve ambiguities in OCR results by using at the levels of context, word, sematic and sentence.

One such post-processing techniques are character level contextual post-processing [19]. Character level contextual post-processing is mainly based on lexicon methods and statistical methods [19].

### 2.3.1 Lexicon based post-processing

In lexicon-based post-processing approach, a lexicon is applied to individual characters which are reliably segmented in a word [20]. There are three approaches used in lexical based postprocessing approach [21].

1) Bottom-up approach
2) Top-down approach
3) Hybrid approach

### 2.3.2 Statistical based post-processing

In the statistical method, letter n-grams are used to filter out unacceptable candidate words from the recognizer. An n-gram is a letter string of size $n$ [12]. The probability of $n$-gram appears in a word is considered for each candidate word for the selection. In this case, conditional probabilities in forwarding and backward directions are considered. Widely used n-grams are bi-grams and trigrams.

While there are post-processing techniques which operates at a character level, another type of popular post-processing technique is to operate at the word level. The dictionary lookup method is the most commonly used post-processing technique which operates at a word level [12] [6].

### 2.3.3 Context-based post-processing

Context-based post-processing is another post-processing technique. One such context-based post-processing is the usage of syntactic properties of a language like grammar rules to check for illegal character combinations [12]. Looking for a presence of two consecutive vowels or a word string with a forbidden consonant or vowel can be given as an example for such grammar rules.

## CHAPTER 3: PROBLEM ANALYSIS AND METHODOLOGY

### 3.1 Research Problem

### 3.1.1 Sinhala Language

The Sinhala alphabet consists of 18 vowels 41 consonants and two semi-consonants, which will total into 61 letters [22] as shown in Figure 3.1.

Semi-consonants
Consonants

| 20 | 2 | $\oplus$ | $\omega$ | ๑ | $\infty$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ | \% | $\otimes$ | $\infty$ |
| 0 | $\omega$ | อ | $\omega$ | $\pi_{0}$ | ๑ |
| 0 | 0 | c | - | m | ¢ |
| $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ล | 5 | © | ๑๐ |

$\omega \sigma$ e
$\omega \infty$ wn m

Figure 3.1 Sinhala Alphabet
The usage of semi consonants is to enable writing vocal strokes with speech sounds. A strong relation is present between the speech sound and the consonant when compare to the English language [23].

Table 3.1 Different Consonant modifier combinations with the consonant ه.

| consonant | vowel | composite | Vowel form | sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | ¢ 0 | 203 | O | 20 |
| 20 | ¢ | 202 | $O_{2}$ | 2 $O_{2}$ |
| 28 | ${ }_{\text {Or }}$ | 202 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $2 \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 20 | 8 | พิ | 0 | 20 |
| 20 | \% | 28 | - | 20 |
| 28 | C | 2¢ | 9 | 289 |
| 28 | $\Sigma^{\circ}$ | 22 | 9 | 289 |
| 28 | జั | 209 | Oa | 2 Oa |
| 28 | ఱ๐a | 2งa | Oaa | 2 OaCO |
| 20) | O | ๑๐ | 00 | 0020 |
| 20 | E | -\% | 00 | 002000 |
| 28 | -0t | ๑๐\% | ©®O | -0 00 \% |
| 20 | © | O200 | OOS | 002000 |
| 2 ) | \% | ©20] | OOS | $\bigcirc \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}_{0} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 20) | Qs | -2か0 |  | 0020000 |

More often, the composite characters have a different shape to its base (core) character but its shape is a combination of the consonant and the modifier both together. (Figure3.2a)

Consonant has an inherent vowel ' $a$ ' sound and its pure form is obtained by removing that using "al-lakuna" ( $\$$ ). Sometimes, the composite characters have totally different shapes compared to the base character [25]. (Figure 3.2b) Some modifiers figures out different shapes for different base characters. (Figure 3.2c) This is valid for "al-lakuna", "papilla" and "diga papilla". For "Al-lakuna" forms are named as "kodiya" and "raehaena" whereas for papilla they are called "wak papilla" and "kon papilla" [23].

Even for the similar shaped composite characters as in Figure 3.2a, the modifier may be differing in size, orientation and appearance. (Figure 3.2d) Some modifiers have totally different shapes for different base characters too. (Figure 3.2e). Any vowel, consonant or composite character may be preceded to a semi-consonant.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mathrm{a}: \bar{\epsilon}+\theta=\xi \\
& 2 \mathrm{~b}: \varepsilon_{+}^{+} \mathrm{g}=\varepsilon \\
& 2 \mathrm{c}: \xi^{2}+\delta^{\prime}=\xi^{\xi} \quad \text { © }+\delta^{\prime}=0 \\
& 2 \mathrm{~d}: \varepsilon+0=8 \quad 0+0=8 \quad 8+0=8 \\
& 2 \mathrm{e}: \quad \omega+\rho=\underset{\sim}{c} \\
& \varepsilon+9=\varepsilon \\
& 2+0=2 \\
& \sigma_{i}=\sigma_{\tau} \\
& e+9=\text { e }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Figure 3.2 Different Consonant Modifier Combinations

### 3.1.2 Generating Sinhala words

Some Statistics for the language by using UCSC lexicon [24] as the data store is as follows.
Number of words $=6,57,131$
Number of Unique words $=70,131$
Shortest Word $=\varepsilon$

A root word is used in the Sinhala language to generate many numbers of word forms in the Sinhala language [25]. The root word is the smallest building block and the word which will invoke the meaning. Inflectional root words are stems, and they are formed by the root word. The same word stem is able to generate several numbers of nouns, adjectives, adverbs or verbs, considering tense, number, person and purpose etc. This enables a word in the Sinhala language to be separated into prefix, stem, and suffix triples.

### 3.2 Methodology

### 3.2.1 Measuring OCR accuracy of Sinhala language

The research problem is to measure the OCR accuracy using the following pre-defined matrices. Some of the accuracy measures that are of interest are given below [2]:

## 1) Character Accuracy

The text generated by a page-reading system is matched with the correct text to determine the minimum number of edit operations (character insertions, deletions, and substitutions) needed to correct the generated text [2]. This quantity is termed the number of errors. If there are $n$ characters in the correct text, then the character accuracy is given by (n-\#errors)/n
2) Word level accuracy

A popular use of a page-reading system is to create a text database from a collection of hardcopy documents. Information retrieval techniques can then be applied to locate documents of interest. For this application, the correct recognition of words is paramount. We define a word to be any sequence of one or more letters. In word accuracy, we determine the percentage of words that are correctly recognized. Each letter of the word must be correctly identified. Errors in recognizing digits or punctuation have no effect on word accuracy [2].
3) Accuracy by character class

The character set (alphabet) is divided into several classes, and the percentage of characters in each class that were correctly recognized is determined.
4) Phrase accuracy

Users search for documents containing specific phrases. We define a phrase of length $L$ to be any sequence of L words.

For example, the phrases of length 3 in "University of Nevada, Las Vegas" are "The University of Nevada," "of Nevada, Las," and "Nevada, Las Vegas."

For a phrase to be correctly recognized, all of its words must be correctly identified. Phrase accuracy is the percentage of phrases that are correctly recognized, and we have computed it for $\mathrm{L}=1$ through 8 . The phrase accuracy for length 1 is equal to the word accuracy.

Phrase accuracy reflects the extent to which errors are bunched or scattered within the generated text. Suppose two-page readers, A and B, have the same word accuracy but A has a higher phrase accuracy than B. Then A's errors are more closely bunched, and hence, easier to correct, than B's errors.

## 5) Non-stop word accuracy

Stop words are common words such as the, of, and, to, and a in English; de, la, el, y, and en in
 language.

These words are normally not indexed by a text retrieval system because they are not useful for retrieval. Users search for documents by specifying non-stop words in queries. With this in mind, we wish to determine the percentage of non-stop words that are correctly recognized, i.e., the non-stop word accuracy. To do this, a list of stop words for the Sinhala Language is required.

In each of the above measures, the Sinhala language satisfies the need of having the features which are needed to apply the above measures and feed data into the variables of each of the above categories. Considering the features and structure of the Sinhala language, all the above matrices can be applied to measure OCR accuracy for the Sinhala language. The rationale in choosing the above five categories to measure OCR accuracy is as follows:

1) Character Accuracy

As noted under section 3.1.1 Sinhala alphabet consists of 18 vowels 41 consonants and two semi-consonants which are unique from each other. Hence the accuracy measure ( n -\#errors)/n can be used to measure accuracy.
2) Word level accuracy

A Sinhala word lexicon such as the UCSC lexicon [24] can be used as the word database, and the percentage of correctly identified words in each OCR run can be measured

## 3) Accuracy by Character class

The Sinhala language has been divided into character classes as vowels, consonants and semiconsonants. These classes can be used to determine the percentage of characters in each class that were correctly recognized. The density of characters from each class can be tweaked as input parameters to generate result sets for accuracy
4) Phrase Accuracy

The Sinhala language contains words which will combine to formulate phrases. The phrases in an OCR output for the Sinhala language can be identified and used to determine the phase accuracy. The phrase length and number of phrases in the input document can be adjusted as variable inputs.
5) Non-stop word accuracy
 word accuracy can be measured with respect to a Sinhala OCR output. The density of stop words included in an input document can be exploited as a variable input to measure the accuracy.

In addition to the changing of the above variable input parameters in each of the above five measures, the following variables can be used for all of the above measurements as another input variable.

1) The font size of the text in the input document
2) Spacing between words in the input documents
3) Basic font styles of the input text (italic/bold)

## CHAPTER 4: IMPLEMENTATION

## A POST PROCESSING BASED METHODOLOGY TO INCREASE OCR ACCURACY FOR SINHALA SCRIPT ERROR HANDLING

In the OCR output some words that are identified are correct while some of the words identified are incorrect. If the words in the output does not match the words in the original document, the identified word is incorrect.

### 4.1 Unicode Errors

Due to how the Sinhala Unicode characters are implemented, an additional effort is needed to correct the errors observed in the output. The primary error that is affecting the output is the order of the Unicode characters and the modifiers. The Unicode of a modifier and the letter in the Sinhala alphabet does not follow their graphical representation sequence for the consonants. When writing the modifier is followed by the consonant. But in the Unicode representation the Unicode of the consonant is followed by the modifier.

## Example

๑๐ comprises of the modifier ๑๐ (Kombuwa) and the consonant $\sim$.
The individual Unicode strings for the characters are:
๑- - \udd9

ゅ - lud9a
Although when writing the letter, the modifier is followed by the consonant, the Unicode sequence is as follows:
lud9aludd9
Furthermore, the above rule changes when it comes to vowel modifiers. Whenever a vowel is associated with a modifier, the character is considered a new character and gets its own Unicode value.

## Example

 represented as a single Unicode string.

ぞ - lud85
o - ludd0
qr - lud87
The Unicode sequence will take the visual sequence and the result output string will be represented incorrectly.






Figure 4.1 Tesseract Output without normalization

## Example

The output from the tesseract OCR engine will represent the character $\mathscr{q}_{\mathrm{z}}$ as $q_{\%}$.
Hence to resolve the above issue, normalization engine is built into the proposed system which will process the output and change the Unicode sequence to the correct value or replace the Unicode with the correct Unicode value.

### 4.2 Syntactical Errors

Another means of improving the accuracy is to identify the syntactical rules in Sinhala language.

1) Some of the syntactical rules that has been identified is as follows [22][27][23].
2) The characters $\odot$ (SINHALA LETTER ILUYANNA) and $\circlearrowleft$ (SINHALA LETTER ILUUYANNA) are currently not in use
3) In addition, the letter © is very rarely in use.
4) No modifiers are used with @ (KANTAJA NAASIKYAYA)
5) A word cannot start with a consonant or semi consonant.

6）Usually a vowel will not be in the middle of a word．For that the dependent vowel form is used．［23］［25］

7）© can be replaced with the letter $\circ$ ，but not vice versa．

8）The only word that starts with is
However，building all these rules into a syntactical rule engine and rectifying errors can be difficult to achieve．But some of these syntactical rules have been built into the rule engine and the system has been tested for any improvements in accuracy．

## 4．3 Confusion Pairs

Confusion pairs are a common OCR problem which occurs during the recognition phase．The problem is the OCR engine confusing the source text with a visually similar character．In Sinhala language following are some of the most commonly found visually similar confusion pairs．

| พิ－大ி が－が |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | か－5 |
| อู－ర |  |
| ゆ－セู | 8－0 |
| tw－$-\frac{4}{4}$ |  |
| \％－a | $\xi^{-}-\bar{c}$ |
| 88－6 | ล－రె |

Figure 4．2 Common Confusion Pairs

## 4．4 Word Level Errors

Contextual word recognition in post processing is performed on the OCR data stream at one level above character recognition，called the word level．By working at the word level，certain interferences and error rectifications are possible，which would not be feasible at the character level．

The most common post－processing technique operates at the word level is the dictionary look up method［12］．Techniques based on statistical information about the language are also used as well［12］．In statistical method，an n－gram，a letter string of size $n$［12］is used to filter out unacceptable candidates，on which substrings of n－grams cannot be generated，from the recognizer．

In order to correct word level errors caused by confusion pairs, the dictionary look up method was in used. The wordlist used is the UCSC Lexicon [24] which contains 70131 unique words. The technique used is to look for characters which are in the confusion pairs and if the source text which is not a word in the lexicon and by replacing a confusion character, if a word can be generated, the current word will be replaced with the proper word to increase accuracy.

### 4.5 Error handling using post processing

The objective of post-processing is to correct errors or resolve ambiguities in OCR results by using contextual information at the character level, word level, at the sentence level and at the level of semantics.

Character level contextual post processing is mainly of two types Statistical methods and using a Lexicon [19]. The both methods involve in detecting and correcting of one or more errors. In Statistical method conditional probability of n-grams are gathered with training data to apply them to the testing data. If all the n -grams for the word existed, the word is considered as correct. In the other method, dictionary is used. If the word is found in the dictionary it is assumed that all its characters have been correctly recognized. Otherwise the same dictionary is used for correcting the errors in the recognized characters.

In addition, syntactical methods like grammar rules can also be incorporated to check for illegal character combinations. Some of such grammar rules are presence of two consecutive vowels or a word starting with a forbidden consonant or vowel [12].

### 4.6 Evaluation Approach

The evaluation approach that is used for this project will be experiment based. The datasets used for training tesseract will be the generic dataset that is already provided with the Tesseract OCR project. However, the input dataset for the OCR process will be generated in the following order to automate the error detection and analysis process.

- Create input as a text file with the desired word combinations. To extract meaningful text which has context, news articles from Sinhala e-newspapers will be used.
- Generate an image for OCR from the above text file. (The tool JtessBox editor [28] which is a tool used to create OCR training data will be used)

To compare the accuracy of the OCR output, the input image will be fed into few of the readily available Sinhala OCR tools. As of now some of the OCR tools which uses the tesseract OCR engine in the core are as follows.

2. Optical Character Recognition System for Sinhala [30]

The output from the OCR engine from this project will be compared against the output of the above engines to compare the accuracy.

To quantify and measure the accuracy of an input document against the original text the following accuracy measure [31] will be used.

- Word level accuracy

A popular use of a page-reading system is to create a text database from a collection of hardcopy documents. Information retrieval techniques can then be applied to locate documents of interest. For this application, the correct recognition of words is paramount. We define a word to be any sequence of one or more letters. In word accuracy, we determine the percentage of words that are correctly recognized. Each letter of the word must be correctly identified. Errors in recognizing digits or punctuation have no effect on word accuracy [31].

The Figure 4.3 shows the evaluation approach used to measure the OCR accuracy.


Figure 4.3 Evaluation approach used to measure the OCR accuracy

The experiment is based on the research hypothesis, the output of the tesseract OCR engine can be improved using post processing techniques. As exhibited in the Figure 1.1, with each iteration the OCR post processing engine will be refined with new rules and features to improve OCR accuracy. With each iteration the accuracy of the output will be diffed with the original text and the accuracy of the output text will be measured with the matrix word level accuracy.

Furthermore, the improvement will be compared keeping the output accuracy of the other two OCR engines "Фலழ ఐৃอఆ๓" [29] and Optical Character Recognition System for Sinhala [30].

### 4.7 Algorithms

### 4.7.1 Algorithm for the system

Generate OCR output in the HOCR format and using Tess4J [32].
// apply Unicode normalization for the output text in word level
Repeat for all the OCRed words in the output file
Extract a word

Apply Vowel Normalization Rules
Apply Consonant Normalization Rules
Apply the syntactic error correction rules
// check whether word is available to apply confusion rules
If Sinhala word search it in the dictionary
If a match found, write into the output Else

Generate words with confusion pair list1 If word with confusion character found

Write the best match into the output Else

Write the current word to the output

### 4.7.2 Algorithm for confusion pairs

Repeat for each component in a string from left to right
For each confusion pair in the list \{
If match found
Generate word replacing component with confusion
Test the word against the Dictionary
If a hit add the word to candidate list
And manipulate the likelihood $\}$
Select the highest scored candidate

## CHAPTER 5: EVALUATION

The training dataset used to train the tesseract OCR engine is the readily available training data set, which is available in the tesseract project. The image format used as input source is tif. The input sample is an extract from a Sri Lankan E-newspaper. The font is "Iskoolapotha". Input contains 2 tif pages which includes punctuations and numbers. (Arabic Numerals)
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Figure 5.1 Sample image of the input used for OCR
The input image has 419 words in total.
The table 5.1 gives a summary of the words in the input source.
Table 5.1 Summary of words in the Input Source

| Total Number of Words | 419 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of Unique words | 239 |
| Most Frequent word | ๑e ${ }^{\rho}$ º (9 occurrences) |
| Number of punctuations | 16 |
| Number of Arabic Numeral <br> occurrences | 6 |
| Number of words which occur More <br> than once in the text | 66 |

### 5.1 Results from the output using the default training data without any post processing

The output from the tesseract using the readily available sin.traindata (tesseract language training data file for the font Iskoolapota)) produced the following results.

Total Number of words in the input $=419$
Total Number of words in the output $=419$
No of words in identified correctly $=223$
Misrecognized words $=196$
The word level accuracy $(223 / 419) * 100=53.22 \%$
The figure 5.2 contains a screenshot from the output and the figure 5.3 is a screenshot from the comparison between the original text and the output text.














Figure 5.2 output from the default training data
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Figure 5．3 Comparison between the original text and the output form the default training data
The following table shows different types of errors identified during this stage．
Table 5．2 Stage 1 output of the different errors

| Input Word | Output Word | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ๑லึ゚○ | ๑๐๐• | Unicode error which needs to be fixed by changing the Unicode sequence of the＂ఠఐృతออ＂with the consonant． |
| ๑๑อరఱ | ๑๐๐రひ | Unicode error which needs to be fixed by allocating the correct <br>  |
| ๑ฺోロ | －¢¢\％ | Unicode error and should be corrected by applying the correct Unicode sequence followed by the consonant |
| ๑బ๑びర | ๑๐బびర | Applying the correct Unicode sequnce should resolve the error |
| 8ช৯（రฒが | 8ชอร์ญช์ | Error in recognition from tesseract．a confusion pair＂હ＂and＂®＂ is observed |
| ๑ひఁరฒరை | ๑๐¢8ைరை | Error in Unicode which can be fixed by normalizing but the error in recognition for the confusion pair＂§＂and＂§＂needs to be handled |
| ๕ัวองర์ |  | Error in Unicode．The modifier＂qre Bee＂has been recognized and needs to be replaced by the correct Unicode．The confusion pair＂อ＂ and＂อ＂is observed |
| つてがmue ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | かがロuy ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | No Unicode errors，the confusion pair＂ฏ＂and＂ఏ＂has resulted in the error |
| ¢025\％ | ¢¢2） | The joined letter has not been recognized correctly． |

## 

The sample input used in the above instance was fed into the OCR Engine "هఆ๕ ண๑วชص" [29] developed by the Language Research Training Laboratory of UCSC and the output was extracted.

The output from the above OCR engine yielded the following results.

| Total Number of words in the input | $=419$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Number of words in the output | $=419$ |
| No of words in identified correctly | $=233$ |
| Misrecognized words | $=186$ |
| The word level accuracy $(233 / 419) * 100$ | $=55.61 \%$ |

The Figure 5.4 contains a screenshot of the output from the "ナช๕ ఐৃટఆอฒ" OCR engine. [29]
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 engine [29].
 engine

| Property | Default training data | ๑ช¢ カૃอชจ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of words in the input | 419 | 419 |
| Total Number of words in the output | 419 | 419 |
| No of words in identified correctly | 223 | 233 |
| Misrecognized words | 196 | 186 |
| The word level accuracy | 53.22\% | 55.61\% |

### 5.3 Introducing Unicode normalization to the OCR output

To increase the OCR accuracy and to rectify the Unicode errors described under section 4.1, a normalization engine was built to the application. This is an application of post processing in an attempt to determine whether it can increase the accuracy of the output from tesseract OCR engine. Enabling the normalization engine yielded the following results.

Total Number of words in the input $=419$
Total Number of words in the output $=419$
No of words in identified correctly $=307$
Misrecognized words $=112$
The word level accuracy $(307 / 419) * 100=73.27 \%$
With the introduction of the normalization to the output the word level accuracy increased by $20.05 \%$ which is a significant improvement.

Figure 5.5 is a screenshot of the results obtained after enabling normalization rules to the tesseract output with the readily available training data file for Sinhala language.
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Figure 5.5 Tesseract output after applying the normalization rules

Table 5.4 Comparison of results from default training data, "๑ช๕ ゅৃ૦ఆอฒ" OCR engine and output after normalizing

| Property | Default training <br> data | ๑ช๕ هっ○ช๑ | Normalized <br> output with <br> default training <br> data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of words in the <br> input | 419 | 419 | 419 |
| Total Number of words in the <br> output | 419 | 419 | 419 |
| No of words in identified <br> correctly | 223 | 233 | 307 |
| Misrecognized words | 196 | 186 | 112 |
| Percentage of errors corrected at | N/A | N/A | $42.86 \%$ |
| this stage | $53.22 \%$ | $55.61 \%$ | $73.27 \%$ |
| The word level accuracy |  |  |  |

After the application of normalization rules, a significant portion of the Unicode errors were resolved. However, there were some more errors which did not get resolved. Following is an analysis of the resolved and unresolved errors after stage 2 .

Table 5．5 Stage 2 output of different errors

| Error from stage1 | Output from stage 2 | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ๑○ぷ○ | ๑อฬ゚อ | Resolved with normalization． |
| －の○రひ | ๑๑อరఱ | Resolved with normalization． |
| －cosom |  | Resolved with normalization． |
| －๑ఱヲ゚ర | ๑బ๑びర | Resolved with normalization． |
|  | 8ช৯（ర） | The confusion pair＇ $\mathcal{C}$＇，＇ $\mathcal{E}$＇exists and makes the word incorrect |
| ๑๐¢8ைరొ | ๑わఁ゙ゅరை | The Unicode error has been resolved through normalization but the error in recognition for the confusion pair＂®＂and ＂${ }^{\text {e }}$＂needs to be handled |
| ¢๐องర¢ | ¢ังองర̋¢ | The Unicode error has been resolved through normalization the confusion pair＂อ＂and＂อ＂needs to be fixed |
|  |  | The confusion pair＂Ø＂and＂ఏ＂from stage 1 still remains the same． |
| ¢¢ |  | The joined letter has not been recognized correctly as observed in stage 1. |

Apart from the errors noted above，there are some errors which have been introduced from the recognition phase．These errors are mainly due to the incompleteness of training data．（Missing characters in the training data，punctuations not recognized properly and the training data missing the Arabic numerals）

These errors are described with details in the next analysis after introducing the dictionary correction feature for confusion pairs．

## 5．4 Dealing with confusion pairs

It was observed that the confusion pairs have a sizable impact on the accuracy of the OCR from the results obtained until now．To rectify these errors and increase the accuracy，a new feature to identify confusion pairs and replace the errored words through a dictionary look up was introduced to the OCR engine．

The word look－up is a complex feature which can be improved in many ways．For example，the word look－up can be introduced to correct word errors in the output by means of N －grams． However，this feature has been introduced to look for a word which contains a confusion pair／pairs in it and by swapping a confusion pair／pairs if a legitimate word can be found in the word lexicon the current word will be replaced by the word from the lexicon．

The word lookup feature is another post processing technique which was used in this project to increase the accuracy of the OCR output. After introducing the correction feature to deal with confusion pairs with a word lookup, the following results were yielded.

| Total Number of words in the input | $=419$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Number of words in the output | $=419$ |
| No of words in identified correctly | $=361$ |
| Misrecognized words | $=58$ |
| The word level accuracy $(307 / 419) * 100$ | $=86.16 \%$ |

With the introduction of the feature to correct confusion pairs, the word level accuracy increased from $73.27 \%$ to $86.16 \%$. This is a $12.89 \%$ increase of accuracy when compared with the results from stage 2 which introduced the Unicode normalization feature.

Figure 5.6 is a screenshot of the results obtained after introducing the correction feature for confusion pairs to the tesseract output with the readily available training data file for Sinhala language. The highlighted text in the figure are some of the corrections which were done during this phase.









Figure 5.6 Tesseract output after correcting errors from confusion pairs

The following table is a summary of errors corrections done with a comparison of word level accuracy during each stage.

Table 5．6 Comparison of results from default training data，＂๑ఆ૯ ゅৃ૦ఆอฒ＂OCR engine and output after correcting confusion pairs

| Property | Default training data | ๑ชฺ ฉૃวชฺ | Normalized output with default training data | Correcting confusion pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of words in the input | 419 | 419 | 419 | 419 |
| Total Number of words in the output | 419 | 419 | 419 | 419 |
| No of words in identified correctly | 223 | 233 | 307 | 361 |
| Misrecognized words | 196 | 186 | 112 | 58 |
| No of words corrected during this phase compared to first phase | N／A | N／A | 74 | 128 |
| Percentage of errors corrected at this stage | N／A | N／A | 39．78\％ | 68．82\％ |
| The word level accuracy | 53．22\％ | 55．61\％ | 73．27\％ | 86．16\％ |

After introducing confusion pair correction for words，a significant portion of the words with errors due to confusion pairs were resolved．However，there were some more errors which did not get resolved．Following is an analysis of the resolved and unresolved errors after stage 3 ．

Table 5．7 Stage 3 output of different errors

| Error from stage2 | Output from stage 3 | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8ชลชฺฒฺ์ | 8ช৯（ి）が | The confusion pair＇$¢$＇，＇$\underbrace{\prime}$＇has been resolved |
| ๑ひโరలరை | ๑லఁైరొ | The Unicode error has been resolved through normalization but the error in recognition for the confusion pair＂હ＂and＂尺＂needs to be handled |
| ๕ัวองర์ง | ๕ัองర์ต | The confusion pair＂อ＂and＂อ＂has been resolved． |
|  |  | The confusion pair＂Ø＂and＂Ø＂has been resolved |
| ¢ద）5\％\％ | ¢゙ดวรญ\％ | The joined letter has not been recognized correctly as observed in stage 1 and stage 2 |
|  |  | The correct word sequence from the input source is ฉరణ．రึӊฺ．However，the punctuation＂full stop＂has not been recognized correctly |


| ชอิ๑ต9 | ¢ถิ๑ตง | The character ' $\sigma_{z}$ ' has been mis-recognized as ' $\alpha$ '. This is a recognition level error which needs to be addressed at the training data level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ผอลชึอ๑ฺร์ |  | The error is due to the character ' $\bigcirc$ ' is recognized instead of the expected ' $\odot$ '. This is an issue with the training data and needs to be corrected from the training data. |

Analyzing the above output, it is evident that the OCR accuracy has improved from stage 2.
However, there is room for improvement at the recognition phase by improving the quality of the training data used with tesseract.


Graph 6.1 Word level accuracy at each level


Graph 6.2 No of words correctly recognized at each phase


Graph 6.3 No of words corrected recognized at each phase

## CHAPTER 6：CONCLUSION

## 6．1 Discussion

The default training data for Sinhala language readily available with the Tesseract OCR engine was used during the recognition phase of this project．The post processing features were built to the OCR engine to improve the OCR accuracy from Tesseract．

To compare the results，the＇๑ชฺ ゅぇอษ๓＇OCR software developed by the Language Technology Research Laboratory of UCSC was used as the baseline．The Accuracy measure which was used in phase was word level accuracy．The data set which was used to test OCR accuracy contained a combination of Sinhala characters covering all character classes and most of their permutations．Some of the character classes and permutations used are：

1）Vowels

2）Consonants
3）Conjunct Characters（Eg：©eq）
4）Special modifiers（Eg：）
The input image format for the proposed system was tif．However，the input for the ‘๑ఆฺゅっวఆ๓’ OCR tool，the input source had to be of type jpg．Hence the dataset which was a 2－page tif image，was converted to 2 jpg images．
 yielded the word level accuracy of $55.61 \%$ and $53.22 \%$ respectively．Comparing the above figures，it was observed that the＇ఠช®๐శอఆฒ＇tool was able to produce slightly better word level accuracy．However，the results from both of the above tools poor．The noticeable difference between the two outputs was that the＇ఠชฺゅュอง๓’ OCR engine did not have any Unicode character sequence confusions．

However，due to the way that tesseract is trained for the language the tesseract output will have Unicode errors．

## For example：

The letter ๑ண๑ consists of the following characters

SINHALA LETTER ALPAPRAANA KAYANNA $\infty$ SINHALA VOWEL SIGN GAYANUKITTA ©

In Unicode，the following Unicode values are assigned to each of these glyphs：
0x0DD9 SINHALA VOWEL SIGN KOMBUVA ๑๐
0x0D9A SINHALA LETTER ALPAPRAANA KAYANNA ه

0x0DDF SINHALA VOWEL SIGN GAYANUKITTA ©
However，to generate the character ๑ゅ๑ the glyphs Kombuwa and the Gayanukitta has a single Unicode in the Sinhala Unicode character list．

0x0DDE SINHALA VOWEL SIGN KOMBUVA HAA GAYANUKITTA $\odot ๑$
And the order in which the Unicode sequence is assigned is different to that of the visual sequence．That is to generate the character ๑๐๑ the proper Unicode sequence would be $0 x 0 \mathrm{D} 9 \mathrm{~A}(\varpi)+0 \mathrm{x} 0 \mathrm{DDF}$（ゅ）．But since tesseract is recognizing the character sequence in the visual order as 3 glyphs in the order 0x0DD9（๑）＋0x0D9A（ゅ）＋0x0DDF（๑）the final output will be rendered as ๑๐จง．

To address this issue，a Unicode normalization engine was built to the proposed system．With the introduction of the Unicode Normalization engine，the word level accuracy of the output raised to the percentage $73.27 \%$ ．This was a $20.05 \%$ increase from the previous value that was generated from the raw Tesseract output figure $53.22 \%$ ．

While the input contained 419 words，the output from the tesseract engine contained 419 words． Hence，no words were missed．However，out of the 419 words recognized，there was clear evidence that none of the Arabic numerals or the punctuations were recognized．Furthermore， there were some characters which seemed to be missing in the original tesseract training dataset．

The number of misrecognized words in the output in the raw tesseract output was 196 and that number was brough down to 112 with the introduction of the Unicode normalization feature．

The next step was to identify the confusion pairs which prevented a word from being accurate． A confusion pair is a visually similar characters which is incorrectly identified as it＇s incorrect version during the recognition phase．An example of this is the Sinhala letter อ being recognized as อ．Both these characters have visual similarities which the OCR engine might confuse and identify one character incorrectly as the other character．

The resulting word could be a legit word or an illegitimate word. The approach followed during this project to correct confusion pairs is to use a word lexicon along with the confusion pairs. If an output word after normalization is not available in the word list and if it contains a confusion pair, it is assumed that the current word is incorrect. Based on this assumption, the confusion character is substituted in the word with its associated pair character and then the word lexicon is probed for hit. If a match is found it is assumed that hit is the legit word that fits the current context and is replaced with the word.

Building this feature into the current system yielded positive results and the word level accuracy of the output was further increased up to $86.16 \%$. This is a $12.89 \%$ increase when compared to the previous stage and an overall $34.94 \%$ from the original figure yielded from the raw tesseract training data output. During this phase the total number of incorrectly recognized were further brough down to 58 from 112 words which was yielded in the previous stage. Out of the words which were recognized incorrectly, 15 words were due to the current training dataset not containing the input characters so that the tesseract engine can recognize the characters correctly. Furthermore, the punctuations and the Arabic numerals which is a part of the input dataset used for OCR has not been recognized by the current training dataset.

The Java wrapper library and the current version of the tesseract OCR engine provides the ability to use multiple training data sets. The current version of the system supports multiple training data files. Hence, the above errors are can be mitigated with the introduction of more training data to the tesseract training dataset.

Considering the word level accuracy at each stage, a clear improvement of the accuracy is observed. So, we can safely conclude that the application of the proposed post processing techniques has improved the OCR accuracy of the output from the Tesseract OCR engine. Hence it can be said that the goals of this research project have been achieved to a satisfactory level and there is room for improvement for the project to reach to a commercial level.

### 6.2 Future work

The current word level correction done for the confusion pairs is using a word lexicon and has limited capability to correct word errors. Furthermore, comparing for each confusion pair and performing a word look up can be a costly operation depending on the number of confusion pairs which can be identified for a character and the number of such characters found in a word.

The current system does not process a word for multiple hits when looking for confusion pairs. That is the first word found as a hit for an incorrect word in the text will be substituted and the post processing engine will stop substituting confusion pairs further.

This feature can be improved by introducing a probabilistic feature to the pick the best match for confusion characters. The probability of a word appearing in a text can be considered when replacing an incorrect word with confusion character which yields multiple hits when processing. Another approach which could be used with the above feature is to consider the context of the documents scanned. If there is a way to obtain some metadata about the source document (Eg: an article about science, an article about history) depending on the context the wordlists can be used for post processing.

A context-based lexicon can be defined to be used with a source document which has text related to a matching context. This feature could further be improved to correct the errors in the source document itself which would provide a meaningful output from the input document.

Language level features like extensive grammar rule check up and use linguistic features of a word like root sems, adverbs and adjectives to improve the OCR accuracy can also be considered as future work. However, implementing such language agonistic features will need researching and gaining a deeper understanding of the Sinhala language. This can be facilitated by a dictionary look up methodology to increase accuracy once the initial analysis of the language features like identifying the root words and the variants like Adverbs and adjectives is implemented.
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## APPENDIX A－ANALYSIS OF INPUT SOURCE

| Word | Occurance |
| :---: | :---: |
| ． | 16 |
| －อృ回 | 9 |
| －พ๑อง | 8 |
| อ๓ | 6 |
| ＠ช | 6 |
| ออ | 5 |
|  | 5 |
| ลออ | 4 |
| －రతึか | 4 |
| O－mbess | 4 |
| జరిండో | 3 |
| ผ30 | 3 |
| ఱโุวง | 3 |
| జ๐లెదృヱ๑น์ | 3 |
|  | 3 |
| แ๐రิదっ๓ฺ | 3 |
| ออง | 3 |
| ¢9จ | 3 |
| ออ๘ | 3 |
| ชูจาง | 3 |
| งอง | 3 |
| －¢冖¢๐ | 3 |
| อ๑®ర | 3 |
| －อ）${ }^{\text {choro }}$ | 3 |
| రోわぃ | 3 |
| \％${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | 3 |
| จ๑C゙↔ | 3 |
| ゆe | 3 |
| 925 | 3 |
| ๕๐องర์ | 3 |
| ¢¢อ）3\％ | 3 |
| ¢゙ロర | 3 |
| జిరిందึఱ | 2 |
| แอิลขึద๑ตว์ | 2 |
| セอ๑ | 2 |
| ๑๑อరఱึ | 2 |
| ๑๐อరษ | 2 |
|  | 2 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ర¢ | 2 |
| อนีิ | 2 |
| องช์ง | 2 |
| อఱ๐ฒฺ | 2 |


| อ๑が | 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ๑¢ృอ | 2 |
| 8งลื์ธை | 2 |
| －วงง | 2 |
| ลอฉ＞ | 2 |
|  | 2 |
|  | 2 |
| ชษ్రకిน | 2 |
| ชอఒง | 2 |
| ○ひைฺை | 2 |
| ÇT） | 2 |
| 勺® | 2 |
| ชЪษ¢冖 | 2 |
| อึЪை๙์ | 2 |
| อึӊைอ | 2 |
| రึఅ | 2 |
|  | 2 |
| 2680 | 2 |
| ฉరజิ | 2 |
| 20 | 2 |
| จงง | 2 |
| ＠อృช | 2 |
| ข้e | 2 |
| ๑ฝ | 2 |
| ๕゙రO̧CC | 2 |
| ๑お゚ทดอช์ | 1 |
| ๑๒อฺธర | 1 |
| 认ิ¢ | 1 |
| 认） | 1 |
| $0^{2} 8$ 8cs | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| ๑రొ | 1 |
| అ⿹勹巳రฒీ | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| జ゚อษงอఎరจఱ | 1 |
| ๑జ๑びర | 1 |
| జ్ర | 1 |
| ※ૂวง | 1 |
| జిరై | 1 |
| జెరొ | 1 |
|  | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| జอజ゚ด | 1 |
| ※（b） | 1 |
| జ๕゙ฉู | 1 |
| జఆ๘ว | 1 |


| జึ่๐๙์ | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |
| ณอも | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| อ）รงช゚โฺ | 1 |
| องรชช่ว | 1 |
| ๑๑อరひฺయీ | 1 |
| ๑อతิว＞ | 1 |
| ๑อ๖ | 1 |
| 20 ${ }^{1}$ | 1 |
| อ | 1 |
| రึอర | 1 |
| రెอ | 1 |
| రิ | 1 |
| రెజీశฺ | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| ปิ๑ออนฺอ | 1 |
| อิఁீลర | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| อఱ๐ตొலయ゙ | 1 |
| อఱ๐けらいอ | 1 |
| อe | 1 |
| อ凸ฺ̧0 | 1 |
| ออぃดึ่ | 1 |
| ๑ฺฺゅை | 1 |
| ๑C゚めひ | 1 |
| ๑Cீஐฺ | 1 |
| ๑¢ひ | 1 |
| eo | 1 |
| ๑రృఙึ＇ | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| ర๕ชองษึช์ | 1 |
| ¢๐¢ง | 1 |
| ¢\％ช\％ | 1 |
| రออ ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 1 |
| ¢్రంరో\} | 1 |
| ¢ูవ | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| બૅరోอర | 1 |
| બโ区ิ | 1 |
| ผอฺ | 1 |
| ต¢ | 1 |
| ↔ณ | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| ๑ல↔ | 1 |
| ๑๑๑ | 1 |


| ๑லช゚® | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| －9 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| －ృజ๑య゙દ | 1 |
| －ృజ๑య | 1 |
| －วఙ | 1 |
| ๑๐ర๑ひை | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| －งดวs | 1 |
| －ర¢冋 | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| －๑ | 1 |
| ๑งอผอ | 1 |
| คaаఱ์ | 1 |
| నิజిరฺ | 1 |
| อรชู | 1 |
| ล¢อ\％ | 1 |
| ลecm | 1 |
| ล¢องช์ว์ | 1 |
| จe | 1 |
|  | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| ชิรองర | 1 |
| ตัชృอ | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| \％${ }^{6}$ \％ | 1 |
| ชูరృออ | 1 |
| з ${ }^{\text {cos }}$ | 1 |
| ช๕์อఁ̨ర | 1 |
| ชื่วง | 1 |
| 38ณัง | 1 |
| ชอษime | 1 |
| \％ってす | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| ชัอรึ๑๐ | 1 |
| ช゙อบร์ | 1 |
| ช゙っぃロอ | 1 |
| ชโฺర | 1 |
| ษโセைరตงอర | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| ชงセวை | 1 |
| ชอร์ | 1 |
| ชฺローC゚ル | 1 |
| ชชชงฺ๑ை | 1 |
| ช（ひ）${ }^{\text {cos }}$ | 1 |
| ชวix600 | 1 |


| ชนைชงร์อ | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ๑ひง๑రิ | 1 |
| ๑๐งอษ | 1 |
| ๑ひை๐ல๑もை | 1 |
| ๑ひงชฺงอ | 1 |
| ఆอู® | 1 |
| te | 1 |
|  | 1 |
|  | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| 勺xaimodx | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| ๑อ | 1 |
| 勺ob | 1 |
| ออฺ | 1 |
| ๑โุటిてつอ8 | 1 |
| ๑દุఱర | 1 |
| క్రలెఁ్ర | 1 |
| ¢冖¢రరవ） | 1 |
| ça | 1 |
| \＆\％g | 1 |
|  | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| ¢̧て | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| ¢\％） | 1 |
| ¢冖¢ | 1 |
| ¢̧ | 1 |
| ¢̧రัธด | 1 |
| ¢ุ®อలై | 1 |
| ฉฺํา | 1 |
|  | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| คฺอล | 1 |
|  | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| อองฒ | 1 |
| ตอદ్વరอచึ | 1 |
| ออช่ | 1 |
| ฉరชชด | 1 |
| ロ®がo | 1 |
| ๑Шృヱల゙జి | 1 |
| రరతఆర๑ธ1 | 1 |
| ๑อ๑も్రృฝ์ | 1 |
| ๑రรకరిరి | 1 |
|  | 1 |


| ชชைธีษว์ | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ชทอง8 | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| రூరృ ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| రЪงชฺ¢ | 1 |
| ๑องโ์วงอช์อ | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| อోวைตวช | 1 |
| 勺ce focc | 1 |
|  | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| ๑બฺరెが | 1 |
| ఠ8ర๐ை | 1 |
| ต\％セ゚อว | 1 |
| ตっち゚ | 1 |
| બでっ | 1 |
| 209eer | 1 |
|  | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| ๑ชงలెజి－19 | 1 |
| ๑ธงలิచి | 1 |
| ๑ชง๑రお゚ை | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| จุฉง | 1 |
| 28800 | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| ชงewsp | 1 |
| \％งe¢ | 1 |
| ๑๐C | 1 |
| ゆฺコช๑ば | 1 |
| mex | 1 |
| がరొ | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| จว | 1 |
| ขิખ | 1 |
| లి๑జోอ | 1 |
| ขิ๑రઝือ | 1 |
| ขิ๑రగิ | 1 |
| ขી૭ | 1 |
| ข゙ฒฺ | 1 |
| ขงm） | 1 |
| Cல๑ではひ | 1 |
| C¢̧ | 1 |
| CO | 1 |
| ๑C゚® | 1 |


| ๑セがロ | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ๑¢¢ర心อ | 1 |
| ๑อฺ | 1 |
| ¢゙った | 1 |
| ¢゙て๑ర8ヵง | 1 |
| ¢゙ってర8ธ | 1 |
| ¢冖⿺𠃑 | 1 |
| ¢゙でと | 1 |
| ¢゙っでe | 1 |
| ¢ัరலิః | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| ¢0 | 1 |
| ¢゙ఱ゙లిఱ | 1 |
| ¢゙ゃరす。 | 1 |
| ¢゙อజ゙రงจరิ | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| ¢¢อณ゙ง | 1 |
| ¢ฺอఱวดธูึ | 1 |
| ¢゙อ๑อง๋อ | 1 |
| ¢อదิตน | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| ¢¢రీలอరది | 1 |
| ¢冖ずర ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1 |
| ¢冖\％ | 1 |
| ¢゙దిธ | 1 |
| ¢¢¢％ | 1 |
| ¢゙ロర๐ | 1 |
| 90 | 1 |
| 40000 | 1 |
| 3335 | 1 |
| 2020 | 1 |
| 19 | 1 |
| 8 | 1 |
| 7 | 1 |

## APPENDIX B - CONFUSION GROUPS

| 0 | 0 | ® | ® |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 9 | $50^{\circ} 6$ | งัర |
| $\omega$ | นิ | 3) | 5 |
| ๑ | ๕ | $\bigcirc$ | ¢ |
| ఒ | 2s | ७ | ®) |
| O | ( | ® | ๑ |
| อ | อ | c | e |
| ๑) | ๑) | 8 | \% |
| 2) | $\cdots$ | $\theta$ | $\theta$ |
| ロ) | ๑) | 0 | O |
| $\sigma_{2}$ | $a$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\sigma_{z}$ | $\alpha$ | จ | ๑ |
| @ | (2) | ๑ | จ |
| © | ๑ | \% | ช |

## APPENDIX C - SINHALA UNICODE CHART

| Position | Decimal | Name | Appearance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0x0D82 | 3458 | SINHALA SIGN ANUSVARAYA | 。 |
| 0x0D83 | 3459 | SINHALA SIGN VISARGAYA | \% |
| 0x0D85 | 3461 | SINHALA LETTER AYANNA | \% |
| 0x0D86 | 3462 | SINHALA LETTER AAYANNA | ¢0 |
| 0x0D87 | 3463 | SINHALA LETTER AEYANNA | \% |
| 0x0D88 | 3464 | SINHALA LETTER AEEYANNA | 92 |
| 0x0D89 | 3465 | SINHALA LETTER IYANNA | ๑ |
| 0x0D8A | 3466 | SINHALA LETTER IIYANNA | \% |
| 0x0D8B | 3467 | SINHALA LETTER UYANNA | c |
| 0x0D8C | 3468 | SINHALA LETTER UUYANNA | $\mathrm{C}^{9}$ |
| 0x0D8D | 3469 | SINHALA LETTER IRUYANNA | ผ๐ |
| 0x0D8E | 3470 | SINHALA LETTER IRUUYANNA | ఙаล |
| 0x0D8F | 3471 | SINHALA LETTER ILUYANNA | $\bigcirc$ |
| 0x0D90 | 3472 | SINHALA LETTER ILUUYANNA | O9 |
| 0x0D91 | 3473 | SINHALA LETTER EYANNA | O |
| 0x0D92 | 3474 | SINHALA LETTER EEYANNA | - |
| 0x0D93 | 3475 | SINHALA LETTER AIYANNA | ๑ง |
| 0x0D94 | 3476 | SINHALA LETTER OYANNA | @ |
| 0x0D95 | 3477 | SINHALA LETTER OOYANNA | @ |


| 0x0D96 | 3478 | SINHALA LETTER AUYANNA | @ง |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0x0D9A | 3482 | SINHALA LETTER ALPAPRAANA KAYANNA | 2 |
| 0x0D9B | 3483 | SINHALA LETTER MAHAAPRAANA KAYANNA | จ |
| 0x0D9C | 3484 | SINHALA LETTER ALPAPRAANA GAYANNA | $\cdots$ |
| 0x0D9D | 3485 | SINHALA LETTER MAHAAPRAANA GAYANNA | es |
| 0x0D9E | 3486 | SINHALA LETTER KANTAJA NAASIKYAYA | ® |
| 0x0D9F | 3487 | SINHALA LETTER SANYAKA GAYANNA | © |
| 0x0DA0 | 3488 | SINHALA LETTER ALPAPRAANA CAYANNA | O |
| 0x0DA1 | 3489 | SINHALA LETTER MAHAAPRAANA CAYANNA | ${ }^{6}$ |
| 0x0DA2 | 3490 | SINHALA LETTER ALPAPRAANA JAYANNA | \% |
| 0x0DA3 | 3491 | SINHALA LETTER MAHAAPRAANA JAYANNA | 20 |
| 0x0DA4 | 3492 | SINHALA LETTER TAALUJA NAASIKYAYA | w |
| 0x0DA5 | 3493 | SINHALA LETTER TAALUJA SANYOOGA NAAKSIKYAYA | crer |
| 0x0DA6 | 3494 | SINHALA LETTER SANYAKA JAYANNA | $\bigcirc$ |
| 0x0DA7 | 3495 | SINHALA LETTER ALPAPRAANA TTAYANNA | $\bigcirc$ |
| 0x0DA8 | 3496 | SINHALA LETTER MAHAAPRAANA TTAYANNA | $\omega$ |
| 0x0DA9 | 3497 | SINHALA LETTER ALPAPRAANA DDAYANNA | ฉ |
| 0x0DAA | 3498 | SINHALA LETTER MAHAAPRAANA DDAYANNA | $\omega$ |
| 0x0DAB | 3499 | SINHALA LETTER MUURDHAJA NAYANNA | 的 |
| 0x0DAC | 3500 | SINHALA LETTER SANYAKA DDAYANNA | @ |
| 0x0DAD | 3501 | SINHALA LETTER ALPAPRAANA TAYANNA | ๑) |


| 0x0DAE | 3502 | SINHALA LETTER MAHAAPRAANA TAYANNA | $\bigcirc$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0x0DAF | 3503 | SINHALA LETTER ALPAPRAANA DAYANNA | $\xi$ |
| 0x0DB0 | 3504 | SINHALA LETTER MAHAAPRAANA DAYANNA | ఎ |
| 0x0DB1 | 3505 | SINHALA LETTER DANTAJA NAYANNA | わ |
| 0x0DB3 | 3507 | SINHALA LETTER SANYAKA DAYANNA | ¢ |
| 0x0DB4 | 3508 | SINHALA LETTER ALPAPRAANA PAYANNA | $\bigcirc$ |
| 0x0DB5 | 3509 | SINHALA LETTER MAHAAPRAANA PAYANNA | $\bigcirc$ |
| 0x0DB6 | 3510 | SINHALA LETTER ALPAPRAANA BAYANNA | ล |
| 0x0DB7 | 3511 | SINHALA LETTER MAHAAPRAANA BAYANNA | ¢) |
| 0x0DB8 | 3512 | SINHALA LETTER MAYANNA | $\bigcirc$ |
| 0x0DB9 | 3513 | SINHALA LETTER AMBA BAYANNA | Q |
| 0x0DBA | 3514 | SINHALA LETTER YAYANNA | $\omega$ |
| 0x0DBB | 3515 | SINHALA LETTER RAYANNA | $\bigcirc$ |
| 0x0DBD | 3517 | SINHALA LETTER DANTAJA LAYANNA | e |
| 0x0DC0 | 3520 | SINHALA LETTER VAYANNA | - |
| 0x0DC1 | 3521 | SINHALA LETTER TAALUJA SAYANNA | ๑ |
| 0x0DC2 | 3522 | SINHALA LETTER MUURDHAJA SAYANNA | ® |
| 0x0DC3 | 3523 | SINHALA LETTER DANTAJA SAYANNA | ๗ |
| 0x0DC4 | 3524 | SINHALA LETTER HAYANNA | ®) |
| 0x0DC5 | 3525 | SINHALA LETTER MUURDHAJA LAYANNA | ® |
| 0x0DC6 | 3526 | SINHALA LETTER FAYANNA | $\cdots$ |


| 0x0DCA | 3530 | SINHALA SIGN AL-LAKUNA | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0x0DCF | 3535 | SINHALA VOWEL SIGN AELA-PILLA | o |
| 0x0DD0 | 3536 | SINHALA VOWEL SIGN KETTI AEDA-PILLA | \% |
| 0x0DD1 | 3537 | SINHALA VOWEL SIGN DIGA AEDA-PILLA | $)^{2}$ |
| 0x0DD2 | 3538 | SINHALA VOWEL SIGN KETTI IS-PILLA | $\bigcirc$ |
| 0x0DD3 | 3539 | SINHALA VOWEL SIGN DIGA IS-PILLA | $\bigcirc$ |
| 0x0DD4 | 3540 | SINHALA VOWEL SIGN KETTI PAA-PILLA | 9 |
| 0x0DD6 | 3542 | SINHALA VOWEL SIGN DIGA PAA-PILLA | 9 |
| 0x0DD8 | 3544 | SINHALA VOWEL SIGN GAETTA-PILLA | a |
| 0x0DD9 | 3545 | SINHALA VOWEL SIGN KOMBUVA | ๑ |
| 0x0DDA | 3546 | SINHALA VOWEL SIGN DIGA KOMBUVA | ๑* |
| 0x0DDB | 3547 | SINHALA VOWEL SIGN KOMBU DEKA | ๑๑๐ |
| 0x0DDC | 3548 | SINHALA VOWEL SIGN KOMBUVA HAA AELAPILLA | $\bigcirc$ |
| 0x0DDD | 3549 | SINHALA VOWEL SIGN KOMBUVA HAA DIGA AELA-PILLA | $\bigcirc{ }^{\circ}$ |
| 0x0DDE | 3550 | SINHALA VOWEL SIGN KOMBUVA HAA GAYANUKITTA | $\bigcirc$ |
| 0x0DDF | 3551 | SINHALA VOWEL SIGN GAYANUKITTA | os |
| 0x0DF2 | 3570 | SINHALA VOWEL SIGN DIGA GAETTA-PILLA | Oa |
| 0x0DF3 | 3571 | SINHALA VOWEL SIGN DIGA GAYANUKITTA | 9 |
| 0x0DF4 | 3572 | SINHALA PUNCTUATION KUNDDALIYA | .uno |

## APPENDIX D - SOURCE CODE

## Normalization Engine

public String applyVowelNormalizationRules(String wordString) \{

String modifiedWordString = wordString;

```
    /*
    Sinhala Code point range in decimal 3456-3583 *
    */
    // Start Replace the Vowels with modifies to the proper character
    if (wordString.charAt}(0)==3461 && wordString.charAt(1) == 3535) {// SINHALA LETTER
AAYANNA
        modifiedWordString = wordString.replace(Character.toString(wordString.charAt(0)),
Character.toString((char) 3462));
        StringBuilder tempWordString1 = new StringBuilder(modifiedWordString);
        tempWordString1.deleteCharAt(1);
        modifiedWordString = tempWordString1.toString();
    } else if (wordString.charAt(0) == 3461 && wordString.charAt(1) == 3536) {// SINHALA LETTER
AEYANNA
    modifiedWordString = wordString.replace(Character.toString(wordString.charAt(0)),
Character.toString((char) 3463));
    StringBuilder tempWordString2 = new StringBuilder(modifiedWordString);
    tempWordString2.deleteCharAt(1);
    modifiedWordString = tempWordString2.toString();
    } else if (wordString.charAt(0) == 3461 && wordString.charAt(1) == 3537) { // SINHALA LETTER
AEEYANNA
    modifiedWordString = wordString.replace(Character.toString(wordString.charAt(0)),
Character.toString((char) 3464));
    StringBuilder tempWordString3 = new StringBuilder(modifiedWordString);
    tempWordString3.deleteCharAt(1);
    modifiedWordString = tempWordString3.toString();
    } else if (wordString.charAt(0) == 3467 && wordString.charAt(1) == 3551) { // SINHALA LETTER
UUYANNA
```

    modifiedWordString = wordString.replace(Character.toString(wordString.charAt(0)),
    Character.toString((char) 3468));
StringBuilder tempWordString4 = new StringBuilder(modifiedWordString);
tempWordString4.deleteCharAt(1);
modifiedWordString $=$ tempWordString4.toString();
\} else if (wordString.charAt(0) == 3545 \&\& wordString.charAt(1) == 3473) \{ // SINHALA LETTER
AIYANNA
modifiedWordString = wordString.replace(Character.toString(wordString.charAt(1)), Character.toString((char) 3475));

StringBuilder tempWordString5 = new StringBuilder(modifiedWordString);
tempWordString5.deleteCharAt(0);
modifiedWordString $=$ tempWordString5.toString();
$\}$ else if (wordString.charAt $(0)==3476 \& \&$ wordString.charAt $(1)==3551)\{/ /$ SINHALA LETTER

```
    modifiedWordString = wordString.replace(Character.toString(wordString.charAt(0)),
Character.toString((char) 3478));
    StringBuilder tempWordString6 = new StringBuilder(modifiedWordString);
    tempWordString6.deleteCharAt(1);
    modifiedWordString = tempWordString6.toString();
    }
    return modifiedWordString;
}
```

public String applyConsonantNormalizationRules(String innerText) \{
// TODO : Add rule to correct kroo
int lengthOfString $=$ innerText.length();
for (int currentPos = 0; currentPos < lengthOfString;) \{
if (innerText.charAt(currentPos) $==3545$ ) $\{/ /$ SINHALA VOWEL SIGN KOMBUVA
if (currentPos $+3<=$ lengthOfString) \{ // String of 4 chars starting from kombuwa
if ((innerText.charAt(currentPos + 1) >= 3482 \&\& innerText.charAt(currentPos + 1) <= 3526)
$\& \&$ innerText.charAt(currentPos +2 ) $=3535 \& \&$ innerText.charAt(currentPos +3 ) $==3530$ )
\{ // kombuwa Consonant alapilla hal kireema
innerText = insertCharAt(innerText, (char) 3549, currentPos + 3);
innerText = deleteCharAt(innerText, currentPos);
innerText $=$ deleteCharAt(innerText, currentPos +1 );
innerText = deleteCharAt(innerText, currentPos + 2);
lengthOfString = innerText.length();
currentPos += 2;
\} else if (currentPos $+2<=$ lengthOfString) \{ // string of 3 chars starting from kombuwa
if ((innerText.charAt(currentPos +1) >= $3482 \& \&$ innerText.charAt(currentPos + 1) <= 3526)
\&\& innerText.charAt(currentPos +2 ) ==3535) \{ // kombuwa consonant and adapilla
innerText = insertCharAt(innerText, (char) 3548, currentPos + 2);
innerText = deleteCharAt(innerText, currentPos);
innerText = deleteCharAt(innerText, currentPos + 2);
lengthOfString = innerText.length();
currentPos += 2;
\} else if ((innerText.charAt(currentPos + 1) >= 3482 \&\& innerText.charAt(currentPos + 1) <=
\&\& (innerText.charAt(currentPos + 2) == 3551 || innerText.charAt(currentPos + 2) ==
3571)) \{ // kombuwa consonant and gayanu kiththa
innerText = insertCharAt(innerText, (char) 3550, currentPos + 2);
innerText = deleteCharAt(innerText, currentPos);
innerText = deleteCharAt(innerText, currentPos + 2);
lengthOfString = innerText.length();

```
            currentPos += 2;
```

            \} else if (innerText.charAt(currentPos + 1) \(==3545\)
                \&\& (innerText.charAt(currentPos + 2) >= 3482 \&\& innerText.charAt(currentPos + 2) <=
        innerText = insertCharAt(innerText, (char) 3547, currentPos + 3);
        innerText = deleteCharAt(innerText, currentPos);
        innerText = deleteCharAt(innerText, currentPos);
        lengthOfString = innerText.length();
        currentPos \(+=2\);
        \(\}\) else if ((innerText.charAt(currentPos + 1) >= 3482 \&\& innerText.charAt(currentPos + 1) <=
            \(\& \&(\) innerText.charAt(currentPos +2\()==3551| |\) innerText.charAt(currentPos +2\()==\)
    3530)) \{ // kombuwa consonant and hal kireema
innerText = insertCharAt(innerText, (char) 3546, currentPos + 2);
innerText = deleteCharAt(innerText, currentPos);
innerText = deleteCharAt(innerText, currentPos + 2);
lengthOfString = innerText.length();
currentPos += 2;
\} else if (innerText.charAt(currentPos + 1) >= 3482 \&\& innerText.charAt(currentPos +1 ) <=
3526) \{ // kombuwa and consonant
innerText $=$ swapCharacters(innerText, currentPos, currentPos +1 );
currentPos += 2 ;
\} else \{
currentPos++;
\}
\} else if (currentPos $+1<=$ lengthOfString) $\{/ /$ string of 2 chars tarting from kombuwa
if (innerText.charAt(currentPos +1) >= $3482 \& \&$ innerText.charAt(currentPos + 1) <= 3526) \{
// kombuwa and consonant
innerText = swapCharacters(innerText, currentPos, currentPos + 1);
currentPos += 2 ;
\} else \{
currentPos++;
\}
\} else \{
currentPos++; // TODO implement Later
\}
$\}$ else if (currentPos $+1<=$ lengthOfString) $\{/ /$ kombuwa and consonant at the end of a word
if (innerText.charAt(currentPos +1) >= 3482 \&\& innerText.charAt(currentPos + 1) <= 3526) \{ //
kombuwa and consonant
innerText = swapCharacters(innerText, currentPos, currentPos + 1);
currentPos += 2 ;

```
                } else {
                    currentPos++;
                }
            } else {
                currentPos++;
            }
            } else {
                currentPos++;
        }
    }
    return innerText;
}
public String applySpecialConsonantRules(String innerText) {
    int lengthOfString = innerText.length();
    char[] charSet = {3482, 3484, 3495, 3497, 3501, 3508, 3510};
    for (int currentPos = 0; currentPos < lengthOfString;) {
        if (currentPos + 5 <= lengthOfString) { // string of 6 chars starting from a consonant
                if (containsChar(innerText.charAt(currentPos), charSet)) { // starting character is a consonant from
the charSet
            if (innerText.charAt(currentPos + 1) == 3546 && innerText.charAt(currentPos + 2) == 8205
                    && innerText.charAt(currentPos + 3) == 3515 && innerText.charAt(currentPos + 4) == 3535
                    && innerText.charAt(currentPos + 5) == 3530) { // Sinhala Char Kroo
                    innerText = swapCharacters(innerText, currentPos + 1, currentPos + 5);
                    innerText = replaceCharAt(innerText, currentPos + 4, 3549);
                        innerText = deleteCharAt(innerText, currentPos + 5);
                lengthOfString = innerText.length();
                currentPos = currentPos + 4;
                } else {
                    currentPos++;
                }
                } else {
                    currentPos++;
                }
        } else {
                currentPos++;
        }
    }
    return innerText;
}
```


## Dictionary Match

```
public List<String> readFromWordLexicon() {
    File textFile = new File(".\\word_list.txt");
    List<String> wordList = new ArrayList<>0;
    try {
        BufferedReader br = new BufferedReader(new InputStreamReader(new FileInputStream(textFile),
"UTF-8"));
            String st;
            while ((st = br.readLine()) != null) {
                wordList.add(st);
// log.info(st);
            }
            br.close();
    } catch (FileNotFoundException ex) {
        log.error(ex);
    } catch (IOException ex) {
        log.error(ex);
    }
    return wordList;
}
```

public boolean findDictionaryMatch(String word, List<String> wordList) \{
return wordList.contains(word);
\}

## Confusion Pairs

```
    public String applyConfusionRules(String word) {
        String tempWord;
        if (this.findDictionaryMatch(word, wordList)) {
        return word;
    } else {
        for (int i = 0; i < confusionRuleArray.length; i++) {
            if (word.contains(confusionRuleArray[i][0])) { //matching the confusion rule R->L
                tempWord = word.replaceFirst(confusionRuleArray[i][0], confusionRuleArray[i][1]);
                if (findDictionaryMatch(tempWord, wordList)) {
                        return tempWord;
                } else {
                    return word;
                }
// log.info("confusion rule found" + confusionRuleArray[i][0] + " " + confusionRuleArray[i][1] + " "
+ word);
// log.info("replaced WOrd:" + word.replaceFirst(confusionRuleArray[i][0],
confusionRuleArray[i][1]));
    } else if (word.contains(confusionRuleArray[i][1])) {
                tempWord = word.replaceFirst(confusionRuleArray[i][1], confusionRuleArray[i][0]);
                if (findDictionaryMatch(tempWord, wordList)) {
                    return tempWord;
```

```
                } else {
                    return word;
                }
            }
        }
//
    return word;
    }
    return word;
}
```


## Invoking the Tesseract OCR engine to obtain Output

```
public String performOcr(String filePath) {
    String hocrOutput = null;
    File imageFile = new File(filePath);
    Tesseract hocrInstance = new Tesseract();// JNA Interface Mapping
    hocrInstance.setLanguage("sin");
    hocrInstance.setHocr(true);
    hocrInstance.setDatapath(".");
    try {
        hocrOutput = hocrInstance.doOCR(imageFile);
    } catch (TesseractException e) {
        System.err.println(e.getMessage0);
    }
    return hocrOutput;
}
```


## Invoking the corrections during post-processing

```
public void runOcrErrorCorrectionEngine(File ocrOutputString) {
    String innerSpanContent;
    String innerText;
    String normalizedInnerText;
    try {
        Document inputHtmlDoc = Jsoup.parse(ocrOutputString, "UTF-8");
        PrintWriter writer = new PrintWriter(ocrOutputString, "UTF-8");
        wordList = this.readFromWordLexicon();
        confusionRuleArray = this.readConfusionPairs();
        //Choose each word in the output
        for (Element span : inputHtmlDoc.select("span.ocrx_word")) {
        innerSpanContent = span.html();
        innerText = span.text();
        normalizedInnerText = applyVowelNormalizationRules(innerText); // Apply Vowel Normalization
rules
        normalizedInnerText = applyConsonantNormalizationRules(normalizedInnerText); // Apply
Consonant Normalization rules
```

```
            normalizedInnerText = applySpecialConsonantRules(normalizedInnerText);
            log.info("before confusion :" + normalizedInnerText);
            normalizedInnerText = applyConfusionRules(normalizedInnerText); //Apply confusion rules
            log.info("after confusion :" + normalizedInnerText);
            innerSpanContent = innerSpanContent.replace(innerText, normalizedInnerText);
            log.info(innerText + " : " + this.findDictionaryMatch(normalizedInnerText, wordList));
            span.html(innerSpanContent);
    }
    writer.write(inputHtmlDoc.html());
    writer.flush();
    writer.close();
    } catch (IOException ex) {
    log.error(ex.getMessage(), ex);
}
}
```

